

JS - 6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

STEVE RICHARDSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a local public
entity, OFFICER MEJIA, OFFICER
SIKORSKI, OFFICER MARTINEZ,
OFFICER ROTH, OFFICER FELDTZ,
OFFICER MILLER, OFFICER
ALVARADO, OFFICER ZIESMER,
OFFICER TREJO, OFFICER REYES,
OFFICER BROWN, OFFICER
CHAPMAN

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV10-02473 DDP (RZx)

Hon. Dean D. Pregerson- Ctrm 3, 2nd Fl.

Hon. Mag. Ralph Zarefsky- Ctrm. 540 Roybal

**JUDGMENT ON THE VERDICT FOR
DEFENDANTS**

Honorable Judge Dean D. Pregerson

Trial: January 15, 2013

On January 24, 2013, following the presentation of evidence and argument during a jury trial which commenced January 15, 2013 and concluded January 24, 2013, the jury, in the above-entitled action, UNANIMOUSLY found as follows:

QUESTION NO. 1: Has Plaintiff Richardson proved by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants violated his Fourth Amendment Constitutional Rights by unlawfully detaining or arresting him?

Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of each Defendant:

OFFICER MEJIA	YES _____	NO <u>X</u> _____
OFFICER SIKORSKI	YES _____	NO <u>X</u> _____
OFFICER CHAPMAN	YES _____	NO <u>X</u> _____
DETECTIVE FELDTZ	YES _____	NO <u>X</u> _____

1 *If you answered "No" as to each of the Defendants, skip to Question No. 3.*
 2 *If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, proceed to Question No. 2.*

3 QUESTION NO. 2: If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 1, do you find that Plaintiff
 4 Richardson has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant's conduct
 5 was the cause of injury to Plaintiff Richardson?

6 *Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of only the Defendant that you*
 7 *answered "Yes" in Question No. 1.*

8 OFFICER MEIJA	YES _____	NO _____
9 OFFICER SIKORSKI	YES _____	NO _____
10 OFFICER CHAPMAN	YES _____	NO _____
11 DETECTIVE FELDTZ	YES _____	NO _____

12 *Please proceed to Question No. 3.*

13 QUESTION NO. 3: Has Plaintiff Richardson proved by a preponderance of the evidence
 14 that any of the following Defendants violated his Fourth Amendment Constitutional
 15 Rights by using excessive force against him?

16 *Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of each Defendant:*

17 OFFICER MEIJA	YES _____	NO <u>X</u>
18 OFFICER SIKORSKI	YES _____	NO <u>X</u>

19 *If you answered "No" as to each of the Defendants, skip to Question No. 5.*
 20 *If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, proceed to Question No. 4.*

21 QUESTION NO. 4: If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 3, do you find that Plaintiff
 22 Richardson has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant's conduct
 23 was the cause of injury to Plaintiff Richardson?

24 *Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of only the Defendant that you*
 25 *answered "Yes" in Question No. 3.*

26 OFFICER MEIJA	YES _____	NO _____
27 OFFICER SIKORSKI	YES _____	NO _____

28 *Please proceed to Question No. 5.*

29 QUESTION NO. 5: Has Plaintiff Richardson proved by a preponderance of the evidence
 30 that any of the following Defendants violated his First Amendment Constitutional Rights
 31 to free speech and association?

32 *Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of each Defendant:*

33 OFFICER MEIJA	YES _____	NO <u>X</u>
34 OFFICER SIKORSKI	YES _____	NO <u>X</u>
35 OFFICER CHAPMAN	YES _____	NO <u>X</u>
36 DETECTIVE FELDTZ	YES _____	NO <u>X</u>

37 *If you answered "No" as to each of the Defendants, skip to Question No. 7.*
 38 *If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, proceed to Question No. 6.*

39 ///
 40 ///
 41 ///
 42 ///

43 QUESTION NO. 6: If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 5, do you find that Plaintiff
 44 Richardson has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant's conduct
 45 was the cause of injury to Plaintiff Richardson?

46 *Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of only the Defendant that you*
 47 *answered "Yes" in Question No. 5.*

OFFICER MEIJA	YES _____	NO _____
OFFICER SIKORSKI	YES _____	NO _____
OFFICER CHAPMAN	YES _____	NO _____
DETECTIVE FELDTZ	YES _____	NO _____

Please proceed to Question No. 7.

DAMAGES

If you gave any "Yes" responses to either Question Nos. 2, 4 or 6, please answer the following Questions. Otherwise, please date and sign below and return this form to the Court.

QUESTION NO. 7: What is the total amount of damages suffered by the Plaintiff Richardson? \$ _____.

Please proceed to Question No. 8.

QUESTION NO. 8: Has Plaintiff Steve Richardson proved by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants acted with malice, oppression or reckless disregard?

Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of each Defendant:

OFFICER MEIJA	YES _____	NO _____
OFFICER SIKORSKI	YES _____	NO _____
OFFICER CHAPMAN	YES _____	NO _____
DETECTIVE FELDTZ	YES _____	NO _____

Please date and sign below, and return this form to the Court. Thank you.

DATED: January 24, 2013

_____/S/
FOREPERSON OF THE JURY

ORDER

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, DECREED AND ADJUDGED that judgment on the merits be entered in favor of Defendants, **CITY OF LOS ANGELES, OFFICER CHAPMAN, OFFICER MEJIA, OFFICER SIKORSKI, AND DETECTIVE FELDTZ**, and against Plaintiff, STEVE RICHARDSON, and that the Plaintiff take nothing. Each party shall bear its own costs.

DATED: January 29, 2013



HONORABLE DEAN D. PREGERSON
United States District Judge